

ZERO VISCOSITY LIMIT

MATANIA BEN-ARTZI

March 2024

- Definition: $Q_T = \mathbb{R} \times (0, T)$.
- Notation: $C_b(\mathbb{R}) = C(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.
- Notation: $u_x = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$, $u_t = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$.
- **THE HEAT KERNEL FOR** $u_t = \mu u_{xx}$

$$G_\mu(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\mu t}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4\mu t}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0.$$

Considering the solution $u(x, t)$ satisfying, for a positive coefficient $\mu > 0$ (**viscosity coefficient**)

(1) $u_t + f(u)_x - \mu u_{xx} = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \geq 0,$
 with given $u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

SOME FACTS ABOUT THE KERNEL G_μ .

Definition 1. Let $g(x, t) \in C_b(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])$. We say that g “**decays as** $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ if

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \max_{0 \leq \tau \leq T} |g(\pm \rho, \tau)| = 0.$$

•

Claim 2. Let $g(x, t) \in C_b(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])$ decay as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Define

$$f(x, t) = \int_0^t G_\mu(x - y, t - s) g(y, s) dy ds.$$

Then $f(x, t)$ decays as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

•

Claim 3. Let $k \geq 0$ be an integer. Then there exists a constant $C_k > 0$ such that

$$(2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \partial_x^k G_\mu(x, t) \right| dx = C_k (\mu t)^{-\frac{k}{2}}, \quad t > 0.$$

Proof. Define a new variable $y = \frac{x}{\sqrt{\mu t}}$, so that $\partial_x = (\sqrt{\mu t})^{-1} \partial_y$. It is immediate that $G_\mu(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu t}} G(y, 1)$, hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \partial_x^k G_\mu(x, t) \right| dx = (\sqrt{\mu t})^{-k} (\sqrt{\mu t})^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \partial_y^k G(y, 1) \right| \cdot \sqrt{\mu t} dy.$$

□

THE CONVECTION-DIFFUSION LINEAR EQUATION

Operator Notation:

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{L} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + a(x, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \mu \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2},$$

where $a(x, t) \in L^\infty(Q_T)$ and $\mu > 0$.

We consider the linear equation

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}\phi &= \phi_t + a(x, t)\phi_x - \mu\phi_{xx} = 0, \quad (x, t) \in Q_T = (\mathbb{R} \times (0, T)), \quad \mu > 0, \\ \phi(x, 0) &= \phi_0(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4. We say that $\phi \in C_b(\overline{Q_T})$ is a classical solution to (4) if

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi \in C_b(Q_T), \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \phi \in C_b(Q_T),$$

and Equation (4) is satisfied.

Similarly ϕ is a classical **subsolution** if

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{L}\phi(x, t) \leq 0, \quad (x, t) \in Q_T.$$

MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE FOR A CLASSICAL SUBSOLUTION

We consider the inequality (5) and assume that

$$(6) \quad |a(x, t)| \leq A, \quad (x, t) \in \overline{Q_T}.$$

Lemma 5. Let $w(x, t) \in C_b(\overline{Q_T})$ be a classical subsolution of (5) in $Q_T = \mathbb{R} \times (0, T)$ that decays as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Let $w(x, 0) = w_0(x) \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ be decaying as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Let $N = \max_{\overline{Q_T}} w(x, t)$. Then

$$(7) \quad N = \max_{\mathbb{R}} w_0(x).$$

Proof. Consider the function $z(x, t) = w(x, t) - (\varepsilon t + \delta x^2)$, $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$. Fix $0 < h < T$. Assume that $z(x, t)$ attains a maximum (in $\overline{Q_{T-h}}$) at (x_0, t_0) , $t_0 > 0$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t z(x_0, t_0) &= \partial_t w(x_0, t_0) - \varepsilon \geq 0, \\ \partial_x z(x_0, t_0) &= \partial_x w(x_0, t_0) - 2\delta x_0 = 0, \\ \partial_{xx} z(x_0, t_0) &= \partial_{xx} w(x_0, t_0) - 2\delta \leq 0,\end{aligned}$$

so that, using Equation (5) satisfied by w ,

$$\begin{aligned}0 &\leq \partial_t z(x_0, t_0) - \mu \partial_{xx} z(x_0, t_0) \\ &= \partial_t w(x_0, t_0) - \varepsilon - \mu [\partial_{xx} w(x_0, t_0) - 2\delta] \\ &\leq -a(x_0, t_0) \partial_x w(x_0, t_0) - \varepsilon - 2\mu \delta \\ &\leq 2A\delta x_0 - \varepsilon - 2\mu \delta.\end{aligned}$$

Since $z(x_0, t_0) \geq z(0, 0) = w(0, 0) \geq \inf_{\mathbb{R}} w_0(x)$ we have $w(x_0, t_0) - \varepsilon t_0 - \delta x_0^2 \geq \inf_{\mathbb{R}} w_0(x)$ and we conclude that $\delta x_0^2 \leq b = |\inf_{\mathbb{R}} w_0(x)| + N$. In addition, $|a(x_0, t_0)| \leq A$, so finally

$$(8) \quad 0 \leq 2A\delta|x_0| - \varepsilon - 2\mu\delta \leq 2A\sqrt{b\delta} - \varepsilon - 2\mu\delta.$$

Taking $\delta = \varepsilon^3$ we get a contradiction for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small.

Thus $t_0 = 0$ and

$$w(x, t) \leq (\max_{\mathbb{R}} w_0) + \varepsilon t + \delta x^2, \quad (x, t) \in Q_T,$$

and letting $\varepsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0$ (and then $h \rightarrow 0$) yields (7). $\square$

We turn back to the viscous conservation law and assume that $u(x, t)$ is a classical solution of the equation.

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned}u_t + f(u)_x - \mu u_{xx} &= 0, \quad (x, t) \in Q_T = (\mathbb{R} \times (0, T)), \quad \mu > 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}).\end{aligned}$$

Recall that we proved already the following theorem.

Theorem 6. *Assume that $u_0(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Then the viscous conservation law (9) has a unique smooth solution $u(x, t)$, that decays as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.*

Furthermore, this solution has the following properties:

- **[Continuity in $\overline{Q_T}$:]** For every integer j , $\partial_x^j u(x, t) \in C_b(\overline{Q_T})$.
- **[Maximum principle:]** The solution satisfies

$$\max_{\overline{Q_T}} |u(x, t)| = \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Remark 7. *In fact, the uniqueness was proved for solutions satisfying*

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned}u(x, t) &= u^{(0)}(x, t) - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x G_\mu(x - y, t - s) f(u(y, s)) dy ds, \\ &\quad (x, t) \in \overline{Q_T}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that (10) implies (9).

We now show that the classical solution $u(x, t)$ in Theorem 6 decays exponentially as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Let $M = \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}$ and assume that for some $R > 0$

$$\text{supp } u_0 \subseteq (-R, R).$$

Lemma 8. [Exponential decay] *Let $u(x, t)$ be the classical solution in Theorem 6 and assume that for some constant $A > 0$*

$$|f'(u)| \leq A.$$

Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(11) \quad |u(x, t)| \leq Me^{Ct} e^{\frac{(A+1)t+R-|x|}{\mu}}, \quad (x, t) \in \overline{Q_T}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the operator as in (3) with $a(x, t) = f'(u(x, t))$.

Let $\psi(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a function satisfying:

$$(12) \quad \begin{cases} |\psi'(x)| \leq 1, & x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \psi(x) \geq 0, & |x| \leq R, \\ \psi(x) = R - |x|, & |x| > R. \end{cases}$$

Let $C = \max_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi''(x)|$ and define

$$\phi(x, t) = e^{Ct} e^{\frac{(A+1)t+\psi(x)}{\mu}}.$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}\phi &= \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + a(x, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \mu \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} \right] \phi \\ &= \left\{ C + \frac{A+1}{\mu} + a \frac{\partial_x \psi}{\mu} - \mu \left[\frac{\partial_x^2 \psi}{\mu} + \frac{(\partial_x \psi)^2}{\mu^2} \right] \right\} \phi \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $|a(x, t)| \leq A$. Hence $\mathcal{L}(\pm u - M\phi) \leq 0$ and the proof is complete in view of Lemma 5. $\square$

Remark 9. [Decay out of influence domain] *Note that if $|x| > R + (A+1)t$ then $u(x, t) \rightarrow 0$ as $\mu \rightarrow 0$.*

Next we want to see if the x -derivative of the solution $u(x, t)$ decays as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. We can obtain such a result (in fact exponential decay) as follows.

Lemma 10. [Exponential decay of derivative] *Let $u(x, t)$ be the classical solution as in Lemma 8. Then the derivative $u_x(x, t)$ decays exponentially as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, $t \in [0, T]$.*

Proof. We use the notation introduced in Lemma 8 and its proof.

Recall the Landau-Kolmogorov inequality: If $g(x) \in C^2[-1, 1]$ then there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$|g'(0)| \leq K[\|g\|_{L^\infty[-1, 1]} + \|g\|_{L^\infty[-1, 1]}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \|g''\|_{L^\infty[-1, 1]}^{\frac{1}{2}}].$$

Take any $x > 2[(A+1)T + R + 1]$ and apply the inequality to the solution $g(x) = u(x, t)$ in the interval $[x-1, x+1]$. In view of (11)

$$|u(x, t)| \leq Me^{Ct} e^{\frac{(A+1)t+R-|x|}{\mu}}, \quad (x, t) \in Q_T,$$

and by Theorem 6 there exists a constant $B > 0$ such that

$$|u_{xx}(x, t)| \leq B, \quad (x, t) \in Q_T.$$

Thus, the Landau-Kolmogorov inequality yields

$$|u_x(x, t)| \leq K \left[M e^{CT} e^{\frac{-\frac{1}{2}x}{\mu}} + B^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot M^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}CT} e^{\frac{-\frac{1}{4}x}{\mu}} \right], \quad x > 2[(A+1)T + R + 1].$$

An analogous estimate holds for $x \rightarrow -\infty$, and this concludes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

THE L^1 CONTRACTION PROPERTY

We assume that $u(x, t)$ is a classical solution of (1) in $Q_T = \mathbb{R} \times (0, T)$ and let $v(x, t) \in C_b(\overline{Q_T})$ be another classical solution

$$(13) \quad v_t + f(v)_x - \mu v_{xx} = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (x, t) \in Q_T,$$

subject to $v(x, 0) = v_0(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

Denote $w = u - v$. It satisfies

$$w_t + (f(u) - f(v))_x - \mu w_{xx} = 0.$$

We have

$$f(u) - f(v) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\lambda} f(\lambda u + (1-\lambda)v) d\lambda = \int_0^1 f'(\lambda u + (1-\lambda)v) d\lambda \cdot (u - v).$$

Let $a(x, t) = \int_0^1 f'(\lambda u + (1-\lambda)v) d\lambda$. In view of the maximum principle (satisfied by u, v) we get

$$|a(x, t)| \leq A = \max \{ |f'(\xi)|, \quad |\xi| \leq \max(\|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}, \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}) \}.$$

We conclude that the function $w(x, t)$ satisfies the convection-diffusion equation

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} w_t + (a(x, t) \cdot w)_x - \mu w_{xx} &= 0, \quad (x, t) \in Q_T, \\ w(x, 0) &= w_0(x) = u_0(x) - v_0(x). \end{aligned}$$

Let $z(x, t)$ be the “dual” equation in Q_T , namely

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} z_t + a(x, t) \cdot z_x + \mu z_{xx} &= 0, \\ z(x, T) &= z_T(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that this is a “backward” heat equation. Changing to $\tau = T - t$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} z_\tau - a(x, t) \cdot z_x - \mu z_{xx} &= 0, \\ z(x, 0) &= z_T(x). \end{aligned}$$

In view of Lemma 5 the function $z(x, t)$ satisfies the maximum principle and in view of Lemma 8 the function $w(x, t)$ decays exponentially as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

We now have all the tools to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 11. Fix (any) $T > 0$. Let $u(x, t)$, $v(x, t) \in C_b(\overline{Q_T})$ be classical solutions to the viscous conservation laws of (1) and (13), respectively, in $Q_T = \mathbb{R} \times (0, T)$. Then

$$(16) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x, T) - v(x, T)| dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_0(x) - v_0(x)| dx.$$

In other words, the “evolution map” $u_0 \rightarrow u(\cdot, t)$ is a **contraction** in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Multiplying Equation (14) by $z(x, t)$, integrating by parts and noting that there are no boundary terms as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ (by exponential decay), and using the equation (15) satisfied by z , we obtain

$$(17) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(x, T) z_T(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_0(x) z(x, 0) dx.$$

Let us take $z_T(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\|z_T\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1$. By the maximum principle (applied to (15)) it follows that $\|z(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1$. From Equation (17) we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(x, T) z_T(x) dx \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |w_0(x)| dx.$$

Taking the supremum over the set $\{z_T(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \|z_T\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1\}$ we obtain (16). $\square$

Remark 12. Note in particular that taking $v_0 = 0$ in (16) we get for any $t > 0$,

$$(18) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x, t)| dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_0(x)| dx.$$

Corollary 13. [*uniqueness*] Let $u(x, t)$, $v(x, t) \in C_b(\overline{Q_T})$ be classical solutions to the viscous conservation laws of (1) and (13), respectively, in $Q_T = \mathbb{R} \times (0, T)$. Suppose that $u(x, 0) = v(x, 0)$. Then $u(x, t) \equiv v(x, t)$ in Q_T .

TOTAL VARIATION ESTIMATES

Let $u(x, t)$ be a classical solution to (1). Then (Galilean invariance), given $h > 0$, the function $u(x+h, t)$ is the (by uniqueness) classical solution, subject to the initial function $u_0(x+h)$. The contraction property (16) yields

$$(19) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x+h, t) - u(x, t)| dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_0(x+h) - u_0(x)| dx.$$

But $u_0(x+h) - u_0(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\lambda} u_0(x+\lambda h) d\lambda = h \int_0^1 u'_0(x+\lambda h) d\lambda$, hence

$$(20) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_0(x+h) - u_0(x)| dx \leq h \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u'_0(x)| dx = h TV(u_0).$$

Let $K > 0$. From (19) and (20) we conclude

$$\int_{-K}^K \left| \frac{u(x+h, t) - u(x, t)}{h} \right| dx \leq TV(u_0(x)).$$

Taking the limit $h \rightarrow 0$ and using the dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\int_{-K}^K |\partial_x u(x, t)| dx \leq TV(u_0(x)).$$

Sending $K \rightarrow \infty$ we finally have

Theorem 14. *The total variation of the solution $u(x, t)$, for any fixed $t > 0$, is bounded by $TV(u_0)$. In particular, this bound is independent of the viscosity coefficient μ .*

ZERO VISCOSITY LIMIT

We note that the maximum principle and the total variation estimates **do not depend on the viscosity coefficient** μ . Since now this coefficient plays a crucial role, we refer to it explicitly and denote the solution to (1) as $u_\mu(x, t)$.

Let $\{t_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subseteq [0, T]$ be a dense sequence (e.g., all rationals). By **Helly's theorem** we have the following lemma.

Lemma 15. *There exists a decreasing sequence $\{\mu_k \downarrow 0\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and limiting functions $\{u(x, t_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty$ such that*

$$(21) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{\mu_k}(x, t_j) = u(x, t_j), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Recall that for every $\mu > 0$ the function $u_\mu(x, t)$ decays exponentially as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $t \in [0, T]$. We need to show that the map

$$t \rightarrow u_\mu(\cdot, t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$$

is continuous, with modulus of continuity that is **independent of** $\mu > 0$. This is stated in the following lemma.

Lemma 16. *There exist constants $C, \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $0 \leq t < t + h \leq T$,*

$$(22) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_\mu(x, t+h) - u_\mu(x, t)| dx \leq C \left[\varepsilon TV(u_0) + h \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\mu}{\varepsilon^2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_0(x)| dx \right], \quad 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0.$$

Proof. For simplicity

$$w(x) = u_\mu(x, t+h) - u_\mu(x, t).$$

If $\phi(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with bounded derivatives then

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(x) \phi(x) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_t^{t+h} \frac{\partial_s u_\mu(x, s)}{\partial s} \phi(x) ds dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_t^{t+h} f(u_\mu(x, s)) \phi'(x) ds dx + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_t^{t+h} u_\mu(x, s) \phi''(x) ds dx. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the integration by parts in $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u_\mu(x, s)) \phi(x) dx$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x^2 u_\mu(x, s) \phi(x) dx$ are possible since $u_\mu(x, t)$ and $\partial_x u_\mu(x, t)$ decay at infinity (see Lemma 10, for which we also need $f'(0) = 0$).

Let $0 \leq \chi(x) \in C_0^\infty(-1, 1)$ with $\int_{-1}^1 \chi(x) dx = 1$ and define

$$\phi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{sgn} w(x - \varepsilon y) \chi(y) dy = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{sgn} w(z) \chi\left(\frac{x-z}{\varepsilon}\right) dz.$$

Then

$$|\phi'(x)| \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon}, \quad |\phi''(x)| \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2}.$$

Now

(24)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(x)\phi(x)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} |w(x)|dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{-1}^1 w(x) \operatorname{sgn} w(x - \varepsilon y) \chi(y) dy dx \\ - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{-1}^1 w(x) \operatorname{sgn} w(x) \chi(y) dy dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{-1}^1 (w(x) - w(x - \varepsilon y)) \operatorname{sgn} w(x - \varepsilon y) \chi(y) dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

Note

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |w(x) - w(x - \varepsilon y)| dx \leq 2\varepsilon TV(u_0).$$

Combining (23) and (24) (and noting (18)) we obtain (22). $\square$

From Lemma 15 and Lemma 16 we get the following theorem.

Theorem 17. *There exists a decreasing sequence $\{\mu_k \downarrow 0\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and a limiting function $u(x, t)$, $(x, t) \in Q_T$, such that, for every $t \in (0, T)$ and every $K > 0$,*

$$(25) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-K}^K |u_{\mu_k}(x, t) - u(x, t)| dx = 0.$$

The function $u(x, t)$ is a weak solution to

$$(26) \quad u_t(x, t) + f(u(x, t))_x = 0, \quad (x, t) \in Q_T.$$

Proof. The solution $u_{\mu}(x, t)$ satisfies the equation (9), namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u_{\mu} + \partial_x f(u_{\mu}) - \mu \partial_x^2 u_{\mu} &= 0, \quad (x, t) \in Q_T = (\mathbb{R} \times (0, T)), \quad \mu > 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x) \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\phi(x, t) \in C_0^{\infty}(Q_T)$ be a test function. Multiplying the equation by $\phi(x, t)$ and integrating by parts, we get

$$(27) \quad \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[-u_{\mu}(x, t) \partial_t \phi(x, t) - f(u_{\mu}(x, t)) \partial_x \phi(x, t) - \mu u_{\mu}(x, t) \partial_x^2 \phi(x, t) \right] dx dt = 0.$$

We now take $\mu = \mu_k$ (as in (25)) and let $k \rightarrow \infty$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] L. C. Evans, “*Partial Differential Equations*”, American Mathematical Society 1998.
- [2] E. Godlewski and P.-A. Raviart, “*Hyperbolic Systems of Conservation Laws*”, Ellipses, 1991.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, HEBREW UNIVERSITY, JERUSALEM 91904, ISRAEL
Email address: mbartzi@math.huji.ac.il